

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X

3 **CHRISTOPHER LIPPUS,**

CASE NO.:

4 **Plaintiff,**

5 **-against-**

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
JURY TRIAL**

6 **PROGRESSIVE LEASING,**

7 **Defendant.**

-----X

8 **COMPLAINT**

9 CHRISTOPHER LIPPUS (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL &
10 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against PROGRESSIVE LEASING (“Defendant”):
11

12 **INTRODUCTION**

13 1. Plaintiff’s Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47
14 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (“TCPA”).
15

16 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

17 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which grants this court
18 original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. See Mims v.
19 Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).
20

21 3. Defendant regularly conducts business in the State of New York therefore
22 personal jurisdiction is established.

23 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).
24
25

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a natural persons residing in Huntington New York 11754.

6. Plaintiff is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

7. Defendant is a corporation with its principal office located at 10619 South Jordan Gateway, Ste 100, South Jordan, Utah 84095.

8. Defendant is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that he has had for over a year.

11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.

12. The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls.

13. Beginning in or around May 2015, and continuing through July 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff on his cellular telephone on a repetitive and continuous basis.

14. Defendant’s calls originated from the numbers including, but not limited to: (865) 292-6188, (385) 347-3124, (605) 679-3151, (843) 779-3643, (484) 273-3768, (234) 208-4570, (817) 585-2946, (385) 347-3122, (817) 344-7552, (304) 988-4198, (920) 785-5679 and (404) 682-3028. The undersigned has confirmed that these numbers belong to Plaintiff.

15. Although Plaintiff signed up for an account with Defendant in January 2015, he revoked consent to be contacted on his cellular telephone in early June 2015.

1 16. When contacting Plaintiff on his cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic
2 telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages.

3 17. Defendant's automated messages stated; "This is Progressive Leasing calling in
4 regards to your account."

5 18. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."

6 19. When Plaintiff would answer Defendant's calls and tell its representatives he no
7 longer wished to be contacted, they would acknowledge his request, at which point, they would
8 then call from a number originating from a different state.

9 20. Plaintiff received on average six (6) calls each day from Defendant, and an
10 estimated forty (40) calls or more since revoking consent.

11 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant conducts business in a manner which
12 violates the telephone consumer protection act.

13
14 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE**
15 **TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT**

16 22. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth
17 at length herein.

18 23. Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular
19 telephone using a prerecorded voice.

20 24. Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic
21 telephone dialing system.

22 25. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.

23 26. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after early June 2015, were not made with
24 Plaintiff's prior express consent.

1 27. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional,
2 willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the
3 purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

4 28. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully,
5 intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal
6 defense, legal justification or legal excuse.

7 29. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses
8 and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles
9 damages.

10
11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, CHRISTOPHER LIPPUS, respectfully prays for judgment as
12 follows:
13

- 14 a. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
15 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B);
16 b. Treble damages of \$1,500 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C.
17 §227(b)(3);
18 c. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);
19 d. Any other relief deemed fair and proper by this Honorable Court.
20

21
22 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

23 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, CHRISTOPHER LIPPUS, demands a jury trial
24 in this case.
25

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Dated: July 31, 2015

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel

Craig Thor Kimmel

Attorney ID #2790038

Attorney for Plaintiff

1001 Avenue of the Americas, 12th Floor

New York, New York 10018

Phone: (215) 540-8888

Facsimile: (877) 617-2515

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com